APPENDIX 1 Monitoring and Reporting Program No. R2-2015-0031

Revised 10/18

Report Date:	Annual Repor
Report Date:	Annuarr

San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board Conditional Waiver of Waste Discharge Requirements for Existing Dairies Order No. R2-2015-0031

Due November 30; reporting for preceding 12 month period (November 1 through October 31).

Facility Name:	_Facility Address:				
		No.	Street	City	Zip
Operator:	_Mailing Address:				
Phone: ()					
Property owner:	_Mailing Address:				_
Phone: ()	_ E-mail:				
Current # of mature dairy cows (milking + dry):					
Current # and type of other animals:					
Current parcels (production and grazing):					
Within the last year has any of the above inform	nation changed?	If yes,	please highligh	t new info	mation.

The objective of the Annual Report is to provide compliance updates, demonstrate that the dairy is ready for the rainy season, document required water quality monitoring and actions taken to correct identified problems, and to demonstrate that each facility is operating in compliance with the requirements of Order No. R2-2015-0031 (Conditional Waiver).

Any discharges of waste, waste water or contaminated storm water that may endanger human health or the environment, must be verbally reported within 24 hours of becoming aware of the occurrence to the **Water Board Spill Hotline at (510) 622-2369 and to the California Office of Emergency Services (OES) at (800) 852-7550**. Within 14 business days, a written report describing the incident and resulting corrective actions must be submitted, as indicated below.

By November 30 of each year, please complete this form to document compliance and submit it to:

Mail	FAX	Email
San Francisco Regional Water Quality Control Board ATTN: Confined Animal Facility Program 1515 Clay Street, Suite 1400 Oakland, CA 94612	San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board ATTN: Confined Animal Facility Program (510) 622-2460 (fax)	R2ConfinedAnimals@waterboards.ca.gov

Please complete the following Annual Report by checking all actions completed and attaching documentation of any water quality threats and corrective actions taken. Provide photographs and explanations as indicated.

A. Compliance Status

Each facility must develop and implement the following programs/plans consistent with technical standards and schedule, specified within the Conditional Waiver, and provide a written certification that each plan is <u>prepared and implemented</u> in accordance with the minimum requirements, by each due date. Please check all that apply.

1.	Fa	cility Monitoring Program - Due N	love	embe	r 1,	201	6						
	✓	On-site inspection and monitoring p	olan	is cor	nple	ted	and	ready	for upcoming rainy season.		Yes		No
		If no, please explain:											
	✓	The dairy is participating in a grou	p mo	onitori	ing į	orog	ram				Yes		No
		If yes, which group?											
		If not participating in a group moni requirements?	torin	ıg pro	grar	n, di	d yo	ou com	nplete the individual surface		er san Yes	•	_
		If no, please explain:											
2	١٨/،	ooto Managamant Plan (for produ	ıotio	n oro	(۵	Du	ο NI	ovomk	oor 20, 2017				
۷.		aste Management Plan (for produ			•								
	✓	Plan is completed / updated							pplicable (N/A)				
	✓	Plan is fully implemented						N/A					
	✓	Certification is attached		Yes		No		N/A	 Previously submitted 	ed			
		If no, please explain:											
3.	Cr.	azing Management Plan (if 50 ac	roo	or m	oro	oro	aro	30 d)	Due Nevember 20, 2017				
٥.	G i	· ·					•	2 6 u) - N/A					
		Plan is completed / updated							Date of completion:				
	√	Plan is fully implemented		Yes					- D				
	✓	Certification is attached		Yes					 Previously submitted 				
		If no, please explain:											
4.		utrient Management Plan (if waste ore mature cows must have a nut					•		•	ities	with	700	or
	✓	Plan is completed / updated		Yes		No		N/A	Date of completion:				
	✓	Was the plan prepared through:		Tech	nica	al ed	uca	ition pr	ogram Other prof	essio	nal		
		Provide name of program or profe	ssio	nal									
	✓	Plan is fully implemented		Yes		No		N/A					
	✓	Certification is attached		Yes		No		N/A	□ Previously submitte	ed			
		If no, please explain:							-				

B. Pre-Rainy Season Pollution Prevention

<u>do</u>	cume	ntat	<u>ion</u> o	of co	mplia	dairy is prepared for the upcoming rainy season, <u>please attach photo-</u> ance with required preseason pollution prevention measures listed below. This complete if detailed explanations are not provided for each "No" or "N/A" response.
	Yes		No		N/A	Runoff from all roofed areas is diverted away from confined or heavily manured areas through working gutters or other means.
	Yes		No		N/A	Berms, ditches and other measures used to divert surface drainage away from manured areas or waste impoundments are adequately maintained and protected against erosion.
	Yes		No		N/A	All uncovered confined or heavily manured areas including corrals, feeding, watering or loafing areas, not draining into retention ponds have been scraped clean and/or otherwise protected.
	Yes		No		N/A	Animals have been relocated away from all uncovered confined areas not draining into retention ponds.
	Yes		No		N/A	All waste containment facilities, pumping equipment, pipes and other conveyances have been inspected, maintained, and are free of leaks.
	Yes		No		N/A	All retention ponds have been emptied, properly maintained, and protected from inundation or washout.
	Yes		No		N/A	Retention pond liners have not been damaged during clean-out process
	Yes		No		N/A	Manure and wastewater have been applied to land or stockpiled at least 100 feet from any surface water, domestic well head, and flood-prone or heavy run-off areas prior to November 30. (A 35-foot vegetative buffer or physical barrier can be substituted for the 100-foot setback. If such an alternative practice is used, provide details below.)
Ex	plain l	No (or N/	A a	nswe	rs:
_						
_						
_						
_						
_						
_						
Da	ate(s)	of P	re-R	ainy	/ Sea	son Inspections:

C. Compliance Inspections

Periodic visual inspections must be conducted to ensure each facility is operated and maintained in compliance with the Conditional Waiver. Observations of any threats to water quality and corrective actions taken shall be documented throughout the year and reported below. Please check all that apply and explain each "No" response.

Yes No Did you conduct daily inspections of confined and production areas, including retention ponds, pumping equipment, water lines, corrals, travel lanes, water crossings, feed storage areas, loafing areas, etc., to confirm that:	Production / Co	nfined Area Inspections
✓ All non-storm water waste and/or wastewater was contained; ✓ Animals were prevented from entering surface waters or clean storm water diversion ditches; and ✓ Clean storm water was diverted away from manured areas? If no, explain:	□ Yes □ No	ponds, pumping equipment, water lines, corrals, travel lanes, water crossings, feed
✓ Animals were prevented from entering surface waters or clean storm water diversion ditches; and ✓ Clean storm water was diverted away from manured areas? If no, explain: Yes		✓ All storm water contacting waste was contained;
ditches; and Clean storm water was diverted away from manured areas? f no, explain:		✓ All non-storm water waste and/or wastewater was contained;
Retention Pond Inspections Yes		
Retention Pond Inspections Yes No Did you conduct weekly inspections of retention ponds to confirm that: 2 feet of freeboard was maintained for partially or completely above ground ponds or 1 foot freeboard for those completely in ground; and 4 Berms were free of cracking, slumping, excess vegetation, animal burrows and/or seepage? No Was freeboard measured and recorded monthly during the dry season (AprSept.)? Yes No Was freeboard measured and recorded weekly during the rainy season (OctMar.)? If no, explain: Storm Event Inspections Yes No Did you conduct inspections of entire facility before, during and after storm events to confirm that: 4 Retention ponds and structures were sound and had adequate capacity; 5 Receiving waters, both up and downstream of facility were monitored, and water quality changes were documented; and 4 All pollution prevention measures, for confined areas, grazing lands, and land application areas were implemented and effective?		✓ Clean storm water was diverted away from manured areas?
 Yes □ No Did you conduct weekly inspections of retention ponds to confirm that: ✓ 2 feet of freeboard was maintained for partially or completely above ground ponds or 1 foot freeboard for those completely in ground; and ✓ Berms were free of cracking, slumping, excess vegetation, animal burrows and/or seepage? ☐ Yes □ No Was freeboard measured and recorded monthly during the dry season (AprSept.)? ☐ Yes □ No Was freeboard measured and recorded weekly during the rainy season (OctMar.)? If no, explain: Storm Event Inspections □ No Did you conduct inspections of entire facility before, during and after storm events to confirm that:	If no, explain:	
 Yes □ No Did you conduct weekly inspections of retention ponds to confirm that: ✓ 2 feet of freeboard was maintained for partially or completely above ground ponds or 1 foot freeboard for those completely in ground; and ✓ Berms were free of cracking, slumping, excess vegetation, animal burrows and/or seepage? ☐ Yes □ No Was freeboard measured and recorded monthly during the dry season (AprSept.)? ☐ Yes □ No Was freeboard measured and recorded weekly during the rainy season (OctMar.)? If no, explain: Storm Event Inspections □ No Did you conduct inspections of entire facility before, during and after storm events to confirm that:		
 ✓ 2 feet of freeboard was maintained for partially or completely above ground ponds or 1 foot freeboard for those completely in ground; and ✓ Berms were free of cracking, slumping, excess vegetation, animal burrows and/or seepage? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Was freeboard measured and recorded monthly during the dry season (AprSept.)? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Was freeboard measured and recorded weekly during the rainy season (OctMar.)? ☐ If no, explain: ☐ Storm Event Inspections ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Did you conduct inspections of entire facility before, during and after storm events to confirm that: ✓ Retention ponds and structures were sound and had adequate capacity; ✓ Receiving waters, both up and downstream of facility were monitored, and water quality changes were documented; and ✓ All pollution prevention measures, for confined areas, grazing lands, and land application areas were implemented and effective? 	Retention Pond	Inspections
1 foot freeboard for those completely in ground; and Berms were free of cracking, slumping, excess vegetation, animal burrows and/or seepage? Yes No Was freeboard measured and recorded monthly during the dry season (AprSept.)? Yes No Was freeboard measured and recorded weekly during the rainy season (OctMar.)? If no, explain: Storm Event Inspections Did you conduct inspections of entire facility before, during and after storm events to confirm that: Retention ponds and structures were sound and had adequate capacity; Receiving waters, both up and downstream of facility were monitored, and water quality changes were documented; and All pollution prevention measures, for confined areas, grazing lands, and land application areas were implemented and effective?	□ Yes □ No	Did you conduct weekly inspections of retention ponds to confirm that:
seepage? Yes No Was freeboard measured and recorded monthly during the dry season (AprSept.)? Yes No Was freeboard measured and recorded weekly during the rainy season (OctMar.)? If no, explain: Storm Event Inspections No Did you conduct inspections of entire facility before, during and after storm events to confirm that: Retention ponds and structures were sound and had adequate capacity; Receiving waters, both up and downstream of facility were monitored, and water quality changes were documented; and All pollution prevention measures, for confined areas, grazing lands, and land application areas were implemented and effective?		
 Yes □ No Was freeboard measured and recorded weekly during the rainy season (OctMar.)? If no, explain:		
Storm Event Inspections ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Did you conduct inspections of entire facility before, during and after storm events to confirm that: ✓ Retention ponds and structures were sound and had adequate capacity; ✓ Receiving waters, both up and downstream of facility were monitored, and water quality changes were documented; and ✓ All pollution prevention measures, for confined areas, grazing lands, and land application areas were implemented and effective?	□ Yes □ No	Was freeboard measured and recorded monthly during the dry season (AprSept.)?
Storm Event Inspections ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Did you conduct inspections of entire facility before, during and after storm events to confirm that: ✓ Retention ponds and structures were sound and had adequate capacity; ✓ Receiving waters, both up and downstream of facility were monitored, and water quality changes were documented; and ✓ All pollution prevention measures, for confined areas, grazing lands, and land application areas were implemented and effective?	□ Yes □ No	Was freeboard measured and recorded weekly during the rainy season (OctMar.)?
 Yes No Did you conduct inspections of entire facility before, during and after storm events to confirm that: ✓ Retention ponds and structures were sound and had adequate capacity; ✓ Receiving waters, both up and downstream of facility were monitored, and water quality changes were documented; and ✓ All pollution prevention measures, for confined areas, grazing lands, and land application areas were implemented and effective? 	If no, explain:	
 Yes No Did you conduct inspections of entire facility before, during and after storm events to confirm that: ✓ Retention ponds and structures were sound and had adequate capacity; ✓ Receiving waters, both up and downstream of facility were monitored, and water quality changes were documented; and ✓ All pollution prevention measures, for confined areas, grazing lands, and land application areas were implemented and effective? 		
 confirm that: ✓ Retention ponds and structures were sound and had adequate capacity; ✓ Receiving waters, both up and downstream of facility were monitored, and water quality changes were documented; and ✓ All pollution prevention measures, for confined areas, grazing lands, and land application areas were implemented and effective? 	Storm Event Ins	spections expections expection expectin expection expection expection expection expection expection exp
 ✓ Receiving waters, both up and downstream of facility were monitored, and water quality changes were documented; and ✓ All pollution prevention measures, for confined areas, grazing lands, and land application areas were implemented and effective? 	□ Yes □ No	
 quality changes were documented; and ✓ All pollution prevention measures, for confined areas, grazing lands, and land application areas were implemented and effective? 		✓ Retention ponds and structures were sound and had adequate capacity;
application areas were implemented and effective?		·
If no, explain:		
	If no, explain:	

<u>C</u>	roplar	nd a	nd/or	Pasture Inspections (areas where wastewater and manure are applied)
	Yes		No	Did you conduct land application inspections at least once daily during each irrigation and/or spreading event, to confirm that:
				✓ Land application activities did not result in erosion, field saturation, runoff, or nuisance conditions; and
				✓ Liquid or solid manure was applied to land according to required set-backs from waterways, flood-prone and heavy run-off areas?
	Yes		No	Did you record the dates, locations, and approximate volumes of waste water and/or solid waste applied to land?
	Yes		No	Did you record the weather conditions at the time of, and 24 hours prior to, waste water and/or solid waste application to cropland?
<u>G</u>	razing	<u> La</u>	nds I	nspections (required for grazing lands of 50 acres or more)
	Yes		No	Did you conduct grazing land inspections at least monthly during the rainy season and twice during the dry season, including upstream and downstream inspection of the closest surface water body, to confirm that:
				✓ Chosen management practices were implemented and minimum requirements for grazing operations were met; and
				✓ Grazing activities did not result in adverse impacts to receiving waters.
	Yes		No	Did you measure and/or estimate grazing land residual dry matter (RDM), prior to the first fall rains?
				RDM result (lbs. / acre):
lf	recom	mei	nded	standards were not met, or if you did not measure RDM, please provide an explanation
be	elow:_			
_				
✓				ur visual inspections and observations during the past year, did you discover o water quality or pollutant discharges to surface or groundwater?
			ا 🛚	
CC	yes, ir orrectiv	nclud /e a	de a c	detailed description (location, date/time, photographs, and estimated amount of release) and staken at the time of the pollution threat and/or discharge (attach additional pages if
_				
_				

D. Improvement Projects, Repairs and/or Corrective Measures

mulcate its status	and progress ma	ade since the la	ast Annual Repo	ort (attach addition	al pages if nee
_					
					_
					_
					_

E. Water Quality Sampling

The information below summarizes the water quality sampling results, as required in the Monitoring and Reporting Program (Conditional Waiver, Attachment A). Please refer to Attachment A, Section C, for sampling and data analysis procedures.

Surface Water Sampling:

If you are not identified as participating in a Group Monitoring Program, you are responsible for individual surface water sampling as required in Conditional Waiver, Attachment A. Sampling shall take place during or directly following each of 3 major storm events, after at least 1 inch of rain per 24 hours. Sampling events shall be at least 14 days apart.

1.	Provide surface water sampling results in the table below or attach similar documentation, including
	any lab reports. Also attach a map of the sampling locations, including proximity of the confined
	facilities and land application areas.

2.	Describe your sampling methods (field equipment, test kits, measurement devices, etc.):

Constituent	Benchmark	Sampling	g Results
Specific conductance	Below 2000 μS/cm	Sample I.D.	Result
Total ammonia nitrogen (NH ₃ + NH ₄₊)	Below 1 ppm (or mg/l) and meets calculated unionized ammonia benchmark		
Unionized ammonia (NH ₃) as calculated	0.025 mg/l		
рН	6.5-8.5		
Temperature (°C)	none		

Ground Water Sampling:

Printed Name

Signature

All dairies must conduct ground water sampling (even if you are participating in a group monitoring program). Any existing representative wells located within the confined facility, including domestic and agricultural supply wells, shall be sampled 4 times total, approximately 6 months apart. A sample must be collected in: (1) Fall 2016, (2) Spring, 2017, (3) Fall 2017, and (4) Spring 2018. Results of groundwater samples collected consistently with the sampling protocols and within these time frames for another purpose (e.g. for a County Health Department or by the County milk inspector) may be submitted to the Water Board instead of collecting additional samples. The sample must be representative of groundwater well conditions (i.e. not disinfected).

Groundwater samples shall be analyzed by a laboratory certified by the State Department of Health Services or a laboratory pre-approved by the Water Board staff.

Constituent	Benchmark (municipal supply)	(compl	Sampling Results ete below and attach lab	reports)
Nitrate	45.0 mg/l			
	-	Well I.D.	Nitrate	Total Coliform
Total Coliform	1.1 MPN/100 ml			
Bacteria				
	<u> </u>	Well I.D.	Nitrate	Total Coliform
	-			
	_			

Note: If all sampling events have not been completed during the required time periods, future sampling is required until all wells have been sampled a total of 4 times, approximately 6 months apart.

F. Summary Yes No Have all the required monitoring and inspections been completed? Have all required reports been submitted to the San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board? Sased on your visual inspections and water quality monitoring results, did your facility operate in compliance with the Conditional Waiver? If no, explain: Certification "I certify under penalty of law that I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted in this report and all attachments and that, based on my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for

obtaining the information, I believe that the information is true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment."

Title

Date